NON-SATURATED ION DIFFUSION IN CONCRETE – NEW LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS

Nilla Olsson¹², Véronique Baroghel-Bouny², Lars-Olof Nilsson¹ and Mickaël Thiery²

¹Lund University, Lund, Sweden
²Paris-Est University, IFSTTAR, Materials Department, Paris, France

ABSTRACT

Non-saturated ion diffusion properties of cementitious materials were evaluated in an experimental study. The chloride diffusion coefficient was calculated by using the Nernst-Einstein equation. To assess this, resistivity measurements have been performed on mortars with different binders (OPC, OPC with 5% silica fume, 40% slag and 70% slag, respectively) and different w/b ratios (0.38 and 0.53). These have been conditioned to eight climates with RH from 100% to 33% RH. The results from the measurements have been corrected for the conductivity of the pore solution at the different degrees of saturation.

The results for the Portland cement binders within the range 100% to 59% RH are presented. They showed that the diffusion coefficient of the mortar with high w/b ratio was higher at high RH. At low RH, the opposite was found and the material with low w/b ratio has higher diffusive transport. By comparing these results with the desorption isotherm, it is shown that the diffusive transport is dependent on the amount of evaporable moisture in the material.
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INTRODUCTION

Corrosion of the reinforcement is the major degradation mechanism for concrete structures and causes serious damage all over the world. The most common reasons for initiation of corrosion in concrete structures are carbonation and chloride ingress into the structure. Both these processes are strongly dependent on the transport properties of the material. Moisture conditions have a decisive effect on these transport properties [1].

All structures undergo variations in moisture distribution over time. Climate, with precipitation and often large temperature differences, is a major driving force for moisture transport which causes differences in the moisture distribution. The fact that many structures are built with concrete with a low w/b ratio, also makes self-desiccation a major contributor to moisture distribution variations. Moreover, self-desiccation is the reason why also submerged structures may undergo variations in moisture distribution over time [2, 3].
No generally accepted method is available for estimating or for measuring ion transport properties in non-saturated conditions [4, 5]. This is a problem when models are used for service life design of concrete structures. For getting accurate results, these models need to take into account the effects moisture variations have on chloride ingress and other ion transport such as leaching. In this area, there is not enough knowledge today to make accurate predictions of structures’ service life. There is also a lot of work to be done for understanding the link between transport properties and microstructure [6].

The phenomenon of non-saturated ion transport has been investigated in different ways. Several studies, for example Guimaraes et al. [7] and Climent et al. [8], have used Fick’s second law and determined chloride diffusion coefficients from obtained profiles in non-saturated specimens. Guimaraes et al. have used a powder of NaCl for applying the chlorides. Climent et al. have used a gaseous source of chloride to avoid disturbing the conditioning. Vera et al. [9] and Nielsen and Geiker [10] have in the same way used Fick’s second law, but these studies have also taken the binding of chlorides to the paste into consideration. Vera et al. have used the same procedure as Climent et al. Nielsen and Geiker used a NaCl solution and then quickly dried the samples to the same weight as before exposure.

Buchwald [11] and Francy [12] have both used impedance spectroscopy and Nernst-Einstein’s equation for evaluating the chloride diffusion coefficient. Buchwald discusses how different masonry materials show a different behavior with a decreasing degree of saturation. Francy’s aim is to model transport, and he concludes that it is crucial to divide the moisture accurately into one part that contributes to ion transport and one part that does not. Otherwise the results can be very misleading. What is also shown in a modeling study by Nilsson [13].

In the present work, the effect of moisture content on the diffusion of ions in non-saturated cementitious systems is investigated. The electrical resistivities of mortar samples conditioned to relative humidities from 100% to 33% RH are measured. The Nernst-Einstein equation is used to calculate the chloride diffusion coefficient from these measurements, after correcting the resistivity for changing ion concentrations in pore solution after drying. The effects of moisture content on the convective transport of ions are not included in this study.

**THEORY**

Ionic diffusion may be compared with electrolytic conduction, which are both a random walk of charged species. The movement is caused by different mechanisms, concentration gradient and electrical potential, respectively. This is the basis for the Nernst-Einstein equation, which was used in this study for evaluating the diffusion transport properties of cementitious materials.

**The Nernst-Einstein equation**

The Nernst-Einstein equation relates the conductivity of a bulk material \( \sigma \) (S/m) to the diffusion coefficient, \( D \) (m²/s). The conductivity of the liquid phase \( \sigma_l \), i.e. the pore solution, in porous materials is much greater than the conductivity of the solid and vapor phases, \( \sigma_s \) and \( \sigma_a \) respectively. Rajabipour [14], referred to by [15], showed that the contribution to the overall conductivity from the solid and vapor phases, is negligible. Pore solutions are typically in the range of \( \sigma_l \approx 1-20 \) S/m, the solid phase \( \sigma_s \approx 10^{-7} \) S/m and air \( \sigma_a \approx 10^{-15} \) S/m. The Nernst-Einstein equation is given in Equation 1:

\[
\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} = \frac{D}{D_0}
\] (1)
where $\sigma_0$ (S/m) and $D_0$ (m$^2$/s) are the conductivity and the diffusion coefficient of the substance through the liquid phase of the material. For chloride ions $D_0$ equals $1.483-1.484 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s in electrolytes within the range 0.1 mol/l to 1.0 mol/l [16]. The calculated diffusion coefficient does not include any interaction of the ions with the binder. Atkinson and Nickerson [17] have compared the results on the ionic transport properties from conductivity and diffusion measurements and found good agreement at saturated conditions.

The ionic diffusion takes place in the liquid phase in the material. Therefore it is interesting to compare ionic transport properties, how moisture is fixed to the material and what characteristics there are of moisture fixation at different RH ranges. During drying part of the liquid phase is lost. Due to the pore connectivity and shape of the pores, the connectivity of the liquid phase is decreased. The connectivity of the liquid phase i.e. pore solution has been shown to be the factor that has the most significant effect on the conductivity of a porous material [15, 18].

**Conductivity of the pore solution**

A key point contributing to getting accurate results of these measurements is having an accurate value for the conductivity of the liquid phase in the material [19]. The conductivity has been calculated from Equation 2 and the chemical composition of the pore solution.

$$\sigma_{\text{elec}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i z_i \lambda_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $c_i$ (mol/l) is the concentration, $z_i$ (-) is the charge and $\lambda_i$ (cm$^2$/S/mol) is the equivalent conductivity for ion $i$. This last parameter is a function of the equivalent conductivity at indefinite solution, $\lambda_i^0$, and the ion mobility, which is also dependent on the ionic strength of the solution [20].

The main contributors to the ionic strength of a pore solution in an OPC binder are the hydroxide and alkali ions. This have been shown both by measurements and modelling by Lothenbach and Winnefeld [21] and Snyder et al. [22]. Snyder et al. have also shown that the conductivity of the pore solution can be estimated from these ions. They have developed a single parameter method to calculate the pore solution conductivity for cementitious materials for concentrations up to 2 mol/l, but then with fairly high errors at concentrations above 1 mol/l. Their method has also been used, and verified with measurements, by Rajabipour and Weiss [15]. According to Snyder et al. the equivalent conductivity is calculated by using Equation 3:

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\lambda_i^0}{1 + G_i / I_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $G_i$ (mol/l)$^{-0.5}$ is an empirical coefficient for the electrical conductivity of solutions at various concentrations. The values of $G_i$ are given in Table 1 [22]. In this table values of $\lambda_i^0$ (cm$^2$/S/mol) [16] are also given. $I_m$ is the effective molar ionic strength (mol/l water) and is calculated by Equation 4:

$$I_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i z_i^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $m_i$ is the molarity (mol/l water) and $z_i$ as in Equation 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionic species</th>
<th>( \lambda_i^0 ) (cm² S/mol)</th>
<th>( G_i ) (mol/l)(^{0.5} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>198.0</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(^+)</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>0.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(^+)</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Concentration of hydroxides and alkalis

The concentration of hydroxide and alkali ions were calculated by using a method by Taylor [23]. This requires the chemical composition of the OPC, the mix proportions, the porosity and the degree of hydration for the different minerals in the cement. Then the total amount of alkali, \( n_{Na,\text{total}} \) and \( n_{K,\text{total}} \) (mol) is calculated by Equation 5:

\[
n_{Na,\text{total}} = \frac{2 C \varphi_{Na_2O}}{M_{Na_2O}}
\]

where \( C \) (g) is the mass of cement, \( \varphi_{Na_2O} \) (-) is the mass fraction of Na\(_2\)O (equivalent for K\(_2\)O) in the OPC and \( M_{Na_2O} \) (g/mol) is the molar mass of Na\(_2\)O (equivalent for K\(_2\)O). The released alkali, \( n_{Na,\text{released}} \) and \( n_{K,\text{released}} \) (mol), is then calculated from the alkalis immediately released to the pore solution from highly soluble sulphate salts, and the alkalis continuously released during hydration from the different clinker phases, see Equation 6:

\[
n_{Na,\text{released}} = f_{Na,\text{sulphate}} n_{Na,\text{total}} + [1 - f_{Na,\text{sulphate}}] n_{Na,\text{total}} \sum_i g_{Na,i} \alpha_i \varphi_i
\]

where \( f_{Na,\text{sulphate}} \) (-) is the fraction of Na in the form of sulphates, \( f_{K,\text{sulphate}} = 0.55 \) according to Pollitt and Brown [24]), \( g_{Na,i} \) is the fraction of the non-sulfate alkalis in clinker phase, \( \alpha_i \) (-) and \( \varphi_i \) (-) are the degree of hydration and the mass fraction of the same clinker phase. The values for \( g_{Na,i} \) are given by Taylor [23]. In this study so far, the degree of hydration has been assumed similar for the different clinker phases, although the belite likely is less reacted than the other phases in the OPC system [25, 26].

The released alkalis are then partly adsorbed on the solid phases in the paste and the main part of the adsorption in an OPC paste is on the C-S-H gel [23, 27, 28]. This also agrees with the findings of Brouwers and van Eijk [29]. Taylor [23], Hong and Glasser [27] and Stade [30] has found distribution ratios \( R_d \), for the partition of alkalis between the solid phase and the pore solution. For a fixed Ca:Si ratio of the C-S-H, these distribution ratios are independent of the concentration in the pore solution. However, Chen and Brouwers [31] have found a similar dependence for sodium, but a nonlinear dependence for potassium. Here, the method by Chen and Brouwers has been used, since the variation in concentration will be fairly high due to the decreased amount of pore solution during drying. Their range of studied concentrations is larger than what has been studied by Taylor, and Hong and Glasser. Stade studied adsorption at higher temperatures.

The concentration of sodium, \([Na^+] \) (mol/l) is then calculated by Equation 7:

\[
[Na^+] = \frac{n_{Na,\text{released}}}{V_w + m_{C-S-H} R_d,Na}
\]

where \( V_w \) (l) is the volume of pore solution, \( R_d \) (l/g) is the distribution ratio which is 0.45 l/g [31] and \( m_{C-S-H} \) (g/g \( \text{OPC} \)) is the mass of C-S-H according to Equation 8 [32]:

\[
m_{C-S-H} = \frac{M_{C-S-H}}{M_{OPC}} m_{OPC}
\]
\[ m_{C-S-H} = \alpha m_{OPC} \left( \sum \frac{M_{C-S-H_i}}{M_i} \phi_i \right) \]  

(8)

where \( m_{OPC} \) is the mass of cement (g), \( M_{C-S-H} \) is the molar mass of C-S-H (g/mol), which is assumed to have an average composition of \( C_{1.7}SH_{4} \) for the OPC binders, \( \phi_i \) and \( M_i \) are the mass fraction (-) and the molar mass of the alite and belite in the OPC (g/mol) [32].

The concentration of potassium, \([K^+]\) (mol/l) is calculated by solving Equation 9 [31]:

\[
[K^+]V_w + 0.20[K^+]^{0.24}V_w m_{C-S-H} = n_{K_{\text{released}}}
\]  

(9)

where \( V_w \), \( m_{C-S-H} \), and \( n_{K_{\text{released}}} \) are calculated by 100g OPC for using Equation 9. Finally the concentration of hydroxide ions is calculated as the sum of the sodium and potassium ions[23].

METHODS

The conductivity of a material is the inverse of its resistivity. For each material and RH, the resistance was measured with direct current and with one electrode covering each side of the sample. To get as little effect as possible from temperature effects, the measurements were performed in a room at 21-22°C. The effect of temperature on resistivity measurements has been reported by Polder [33]. This varies with moisture content and is approximately 3% and 5% /°K on a saturated and dry specimen, respectively. Polder also found that variation coefficients of 10% are good, and 20% are normal for resistivity measurements.

To ensure electrical contact over the whole surface, a humid sponge was used. A systematic decrease in resistance was observed when making several measurements on the same specimen. This could be caused by absorption of moisture in the part of the specimen closest to the sponge, and then a decrease in resistivity due to the increase of pore solution. To minimize this effect, only the first measurement was included in the results. [34]

No method was found for estimation of the pore solution composition during non-saturated conditions and no measurements on samples were found in literature either. To estimate the effect of the increase in conductivity during drying, the volume of water acting as a solute for the ions has been decreased relative to the degree of saturation of the samples. A similar approach has been used by Rajabipour and Weiss [15], but not using the same way of calculating the resulting concentrations.

The degree of saturation, S, has been calculated as the mass ratio of water at the different RH and the water lost during drying water cured specimens at 105°C. Depending on the fixation mechanism the moisture is more or less hardly bound to the material, and then also more or less likely to participate in ionic transport. The water that has reacted with the cement during hydration is chemically bound in the solid parts of the material and is unlikely to take part. At the other end of the range, there is free water in the largest capillary pores where ion diffusion can take place. Between those extremes, there is interlayer moisture between the C-S-H layers in the gel, and also adsorbed moisture on the solid walls in all pores in the material. The adsorbed moisture is differently hard bound depending on how far from the solid surface it is and then also how large the pore is [35].

To verify the calculated conductivities, six synthetic solutions were prepared and their conductivity was measured experimentally. The results from the synthetic solutions were 3-4% lower than the calculated conductivities.
MATERIALS

Eight mortars with four different binders were used in this study. The binders were ordinary
Portland cement CEM I 42.5N (OPC), OPC with 5% silica fume, and OPC with 40% and 70%
ground granulated blast furnace slag (slag) respectively (all by weight). The chemical
compositions of the cement and the slag are given in Table 2. Two water/binder (w/b) ratios,
0.38 and 0.53, were used. The w/b ratio is calculated by using an equivalent volume ratio, i.e. the
relation volume of paste, to the volume of water, is constant for all binders. This
gives a slight
variation in w/b ratio for binders with slag and silica fume. A siliceous sand according to EN
196-1 was used as aggregate. For a detailed description of the composition of the mortars see
Table 3.

Table 2 –Composition of a) the OPC b) the slag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Analysis</th>
<th>Mineralogical Composition -XRF/Rietveld analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g/100g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>19.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>63.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>0.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Composition of the mortars. Quantities are given in kg/m<sup>3</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement/SCM</th>
<th>w/b</th>
<th>100/0</th>
<th>95/5</th>
<th>60/40</th>
<th>30/70</th>
<th>100/0</th>
<th>95/5</th>
<th>60/40</th>
<th>30/70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slag</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica Fume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normsand</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>1543</td>
<td>1531</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>1519</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastisizer</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mortars were casted in blocks 60x25x25 cm<sup>3</sup>, which were demolded one day after casting,
and then put into water (tap water) for wet curing. The properties of the materials that were
determined adjacent to casting are given in Table 4. After one week, cores of 50 mm diameter
were drilled horizontally out of the blocks. The cores were immediately put back into their holes
for further wet curing. The reason for keeping the samples in their blocks was to minimize the
volume of water, to get as little effect as possible from leaching. After two months the cores
were cut into discs with a thickness of 15 mm and 45 mm, respectively, and again put back into
their holes.
Table 4 – Properties of the mortars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement/SCM w/b</th>
<th>100/0</th>
<th>95/5</th>
<th>60/40</th>
<th>30/70</th>
<th>100/0</th>
<th>95/5</th>
<th>60/40</th>
<th>30/70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic density (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>2256</td>
<td>2253</td>
<td>2208</td>
<td>2198</td>
<td>2203</td>
<td>2199</td>
<td>2201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air content (%)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength age 28days (MPa)</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT Build 492 $D_{nssm}$ ($10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditioning the samples to different humidity started three months after casting. The samples were moved stepwise to drier and drier humidity to avoid surface cracking. There was a CO$_2$-absorbent in each climate box for minimizing the effects of carbonation. The humidity used were 20°C and “100%”, 97.5%, 94%, 91%, 85%, 75%, 59%, and 33% RH. The humidity was kept with saturated salt solutions [36]. During conditioning the mass of the samples were determined to assess how much water that had evaporated from the pore solution between the different RH stages. When the sample masses were stable, the samples were moved to the next climate box. Three discs were conditioned for each mortar and in each climate.

The mass changes during conditioning have been used to determine the points for the specified RH on the desorption isotherm for the materials. The porosity after 14 months wet curing was determined from drying the samples at 105°C. The density is assumed to be 1.00g/cm$^3$ for all water evaporated from the samples. The volumes of the samples were determined after drying and vacuum saturation. At the same age, the non-steady state migration coefficient for chloride was determined with the standardized method NT Build 492 [37]. The measured properties are given in Table 4.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

So far in this study, resistivity measurements have been performed on specimens for the specified climates, except 33% RH, which are still not balanced with the climate. Calculations of the pore solution conductivity have been done for the OPC binders. If not else stated, points in graphs represent an average of measurements on three specimens.

Resistivity measurements

In Figure 1 the conductivity related to the conductivity at 100% RH is shown. From this figure, it is clear that there is a large drop in conductivity when going just below 100% RH. It can also be seen that for the specimens in equilibrium with 59% RH, all the mortars with the same w/b ratio shows the same value of the relation $\sigma / \sigma(100\% \text{ RH})$. Between those two boundaries there is a variation between the different binders. It seems that binders with slag have a steeper drop in conductivity. A detailed analysis of this will be performed after the correction of the pore solution conductivity has been done. Another way of looking at the results is as a function of degree of saturation, which is shown in Figure 2. The variation between the different binders is somewhat smaller when the relative conductivity is related to the degree of saturation, S, than when it is related to the RH.
In a model by Saetta et al. [38] a numerical relationship is used for the changes in diffusion coefficient with moisture content. This relationship includes $h_c$ which is defined as the RH at where the diffusion coefficient loses half its value. In the study Saetta et al. then evaluates the model by comparing its results with experimental results for OPC binders. Even though some authors [9, 10, 39, 40] have found good agreement with this model and $h_c$ equals 0.75 for OPC binders, a fixed value cannot be confirmed by this study. From Figure 1 and Figure 2, it seems to be dependent on binder composition and w/b ratio.

Figure 1 – All binders plotted, relative conductivity vs. RH.

Figure 2 – The relative conductivity vs. degree of saturation.

Figure 3 shows the desorption isotherm and the conductivities of the materials with w/b ratio 0.38. By comparing these two graphs, it can be seen that for all binders, the change in conductivity is very small in the flat part of the desorption isotherm, i.e. when the moisture changes are small, the changes in conductivity are small too. However, the desorption isotherm generally drops again between 85% and 75% RH, whereas the conductivity drops between 91% and 85%. From this figure it is also clear that there is a relation between the moisture ratio in the
material and its conductivity, but for the continued evaluation of this, the pore solution conductivity needs to be taken into account, and also results from a microstructural analysis.

The conductivity of all materials shows a similar pattern for the relation between the different w/b ratios. As can be seen in Figure 4, the curves for the different w/b ratios intersect each other both for the overall conductivity of the materials and the desorption isotherm. At higher RH, diffusion in large capillary pores dominates the transport, and the mortar with higher w/b ratio has a larger transport. The mortar with the lower w/b ratio and larger amount of binder has more small capillary pores and gel pores, and at a certain point these pores dominate the transport and the diffusion in this material is larger. This point is not similar in the different binders, and it is not possible to state its position before the correction of the pore solution composition is done.
Calculation of the chloride diffusion coefficient for the OPC binders

For the mortars with OPC binders, the pore solution composition, its conductivity and the chloride diffusion coefficient have been calculated at different degrees of saturation. To do this, the degree of hydration of the cement was assumed to be the same for all clinker phases. Then the degree of hydration is so far assumed to be 0.7 for w/b ratio 0.38 and 0.9 for w/b 0.53. This is an estimation which will be replaced by the results from the microstructural characterisation later in this study.

All water that was lost during the drying phase at 105°C, is included in the calculation of the composition of the pore solution and the desorption isotherms. This is an overestimation since some of the chemically bound water is lost at higher RH [26], and this hard drying also induces micro cracks and changes the microstructure. Baroghel-Bouny [35] found a 13.5% difference when comparing drying at 105°C with drying at room temperature with silica gel, which gives 3% RH. Much is known about moisture in cementitious materials, but what part that acts as a solvent for the ions and what part that is able to contribute to ion transport, is still an open question. The assumption about whether water acts as a solvent for the ions in this study, will underestimate the increase in concentration of the pore solution during the drying process and overestimate the moisture ratio at the specified RHs.

The decrease in RH may also affect the water content in the hydrates and here the primary interest is the C-S-H, which may affect the adsorption of alkalis. The stability of other solids may also be affected by the decreased RH, which also effects the composition of the pore solution [41, 42].

The calculated alkali concentrations and conductivities are given in Figure 5. The behaviour of the diffusion coefficient compared to the desorption isotherm was the same as for the conductivity, but with a slight change in the intersection point, as can be seen in Figure 6.

![Figure 5](image_url)  
*Figure 5 – a) Calculated alkali concentrations depending on the degree of saturation. b) Calculated conductivities of the pore solutions depending on the degree of saturation.*
Baroghel-Bouny et al. [4] used the work by Francy [12] and assumed a similar behaviour for the diffusion coefficient for the different w/b ratios. Buchwald [11] proposed a dependence on the degree of saturation which has been used in the model by Baroghel-Bouny et al. as the relative chloride diffusion coefficient given in Equation 10. In Figure 7 the relative diffusion coefficient is shown as a function of degree of saturation, S. These results show that for this OPC, the w/b ratio does not affect the relation between the relative diffusion coefficient and the degree of saturation.

$$\frac{d\lambda_{Cl^-}}{\lambda_{Cl^-}(S=1)} = S^\lambda$$

From Francy’s work Baroghel-Bouny et al. have found $\lambda=6$. Using the same relation on the results presented in Figure 7 yields $\lambda=4.5$. Francy also concluded that there does not seem to be any transport when the degree of saturation is lower than 0.50. The results in Figure 7 show that at this level of saturation, the diffusion is only 4% of the value at saturation. Though, it should be pointed out that this is the result of three specimens from one binder composition.
CONCLUSIONS

The available results can constitute major input data for models of chloride transport in non-saturated materials.

The study will be continued with calculations of the pore solution conductivity of the blended binders, and then a calculation of the chloride diffusion coefficients for the materials with those binders. Measurements on the specimens in equilibrium with 33% RH will be done and included in the results. It can be expected from Figure 4 that the diffusion coefficients will be close to zero at this low RH.

From the results of this study, one can draw the conclusion that the conductivity of the studied mortars is closely related to the desorption isotherm and the moisture content in the material. At high RH, the mortars with high w/b ratio have a higher conductivity than the mortars with low w/b ratio, as expected. When the RH is decreased, and the major transport paths in the large capillary pores are emptied, at some point, the mortars with low w/b ratio have higher conductivity. From the results of the OPC binders, where the pore solution composition have been taken into account, it is clear that the variation of the diffusion coefficient with RH shows the same behaviour as the conductivity.

From the results from calculation of the chloride diffusion coefficient for the OPC binders, it is shown that for this binder, the dependence of the relative diffusion coefficient on the degree of saturation is similar for the two w/b ratios.
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